1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
3		
4	DELMER THURBER, et al.,	
5	Plaintiff(s),	NO. C08-1803MJP
6	V.	ORDER ON MOTION TO COMPEL
7	BERNARD PETERSON, et al.,	
8	Defendant(s).	
9		
10	The above-entitled Court, having received and reviewed	
11	1. Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses and for Sanctions (Dkt. No. 14)	
12	2. Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses and for	
13	Sanctions (Dkt. No. 21)	
14	3. Plaintiffs' Reply on Motion to Compel Discovery Responses and for Sanctions (Dkt. No. 24)	
15	and all attached declarations and exhibits, makes the following ruling:	
16	IT IS ORDERED that the motion is DENIED.	
17	The Court can order a party to respond to interrogatories; the Court cannot order a party to	
18	respond with an answer that pleases the interrogator. Plaintiffs complain that Defendant has	
19	responded to their interrogatories untruthfully. The Court cannot evaluate whether the answers are	
20	false – that determination will have to await a trial.	All the Court can do is require a party to respond.
21	Plaintiffs are invited to move on to alternate forms of inquiry where followup questions can be	
22	posed and explanations probed.	
23	Dated: May, 2009	71. 1 1/2
24		Maisley Relens
		Marsha J. Pechman U.S. District Judge
25		

26 ORD ON MTN TO COMPEL - 1

ORD ON MTN TO COMPEL - 2